

THINGS ARE WORSE THAN WE THINK: TRADEMARK DEFENSES IN A “FORMALIST” AGE

By Michael Grynberg[†]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	899
II.	TRADEMARK’S EXPANSION AND THE LIMITS OF TRADEMARK DEFENSES	903
A.	TRADEMARK’S EXPANDING SCOPE	903
1.	<i>What May Be a Trademark?</i>	904
2.	<i>About What Must Consumers Be Confused?</i>	906
3.	<i>When Do We Measure Confusion?</i>	908
4.	<i>Expansive Trademark and the Lanham Act</i>	909
B.	LAGGING TRADEMARK “DEFENSES”	914
1.	<i>“Classic” Fair Use</i>	918
2.	<i>Genericism</i>	920
3.	<i>Functionality and the Question of Aesthetics</i>	920
4.	<i>Other Defenses</i>	924
C.	SUMMARY	924
III.	A WAY OUT? TRADEMARK’S COMMON LAW PROBLEM.....	925
A.	A FORMALIST AGE?.....	926
B.	“TRADEMARK FORMALISM” AT THE SUPREME COURT	933
1.	<i>Textual Checks to Further Trademark Expansion</i>	933
a)	Restricting Dilution.....	935
b)	A Defense Is a Defense Is a Defense	936
2.	<i>Trademark “Contextualism”</i>	937
a)	Functionality	938
b)	The Meaning of “Origin”	939
c)	What About <i>Wal-Mart</i> ?.....	941
C.	SUMMARY	945

© 2009 Michael Grynberg.

† Assistant Professor of Law, Oklahoma City University School of Law. My thanks to Kelly Baldrate, Michael Gibson, Art LeFrancois, Bill McGeveran, Rebecca Tushnet, and Deborah Tussey for their helpful comments and to Oklahoma City University for the summer grant that supported my research. Earlier drafts were presented at the 2008 Works in Progress Intellectual Property (WIPIP) Colloquium at Tulane University Law School and a faculty colloquium at Oklahoma City University.