
NOTE

SHARING THE INTEROPERABILITY BALL ON THE SOFTWARE PATENT PLAYGROUND

MICHAEL CHAPIN*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	221
II. BACKGROUND ON SOFTWARE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	225
A. <i>Trade Secret and Copyright Protection of Software in the U.S.</i>	225
B. <i>Patent Protection of Software in the U.S.</i>	227
C. <i>International Protection of Software (Europe and Japan)</i>	229
1. Software and Intellectual Property in Europe	229
2. Software and Intellectual Property in Japan	230
III. PROBLEMS WITH THE CURRENT U.S. PATENT SYSTEM RELATED TO SOFTWARE INTEROPERABILITY	232
IV. PROPOSED SOLUTIONS BY BOTH THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY AND PRIVATE SECTOR AND THE IMPLICATIONS OF RECENT U.S. CASE LAW	234
A. <i>Proposed Solutions Addressing Software Interoperability by the International Community</i>	234
1. European CII Directive	234
2. Japanese Proposed Rule Changes	236
3. Flaws with the European and Japanese Solutions	237
B. <i>Industry Solutions</i>	238
1. Standards (Formal and Open)	238
2. Cooperative Disclosure	240
3. Why Current Industry Solutions are Insufficient	240
C. <i>Recent U.S. Case Law and the Potential Implications for Software Interoperability</i>	243
1. The <i>eBay v. MercExchange</i> Decision	243

* J.D. Candidate, Boston University School of Law, 2008; B.S. Materials Science Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, 1999.