

**THE DOCTRINE OF INHERENCY AND THE
CARBON NANOTUBE PATENTS:
EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENT VS.
DOCUMENTARY INFERENCE**

*TED SABETY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION: CONCERNS ABOUT THE CARBON NANOTUBE PATENT THICKET	738
II. THE CARBON NANOTUBE PATENT'S COMPOSITION CLAIMS	739
III. THE DOCTRINE OF INHERENT ANTICIPATION	742
IV. EXPERIMENTAL VS. DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE OF INHERENCY	744
V. INHERENT ANTICIPATION AND THE CARBON NANOTUBE PATENTS	746
A. Documentary Evidence May Present a Prima Facie Case of Inherent Anticipation	746
B. Naturally Occurring Carbon Nanotubes as Prior Art	747
C. Common Chemical Processes Producing Carbon Nanotubes as Prior Art	748
D. Chemical Processes Producing Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes as Prior Art	749
VI. CONCLUSION: THE INDUSTRY MAY WANT TO TRY TO CREATE PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OF INHERENCY	751

* Sabety + Associates, PLLC, 1500 Broadway, 12th Fl, New York, NY 10036,
212-481-8686, info@sabety.net. www.sabety.net. ANY STATEMENTS HEREIN
ARE SPECULATIVE AND DO NOT REPRESENT THE VIEWS OF ANY
FIRM CLIENT. This Article is Not Legal Advice. Seek Counsel for Your
Specific Problem.