

**Debunking the Top Three Myths of Digital Sampling: An
Endorsement of the *Bridgeport Music Court*'s Attempt to Afford
"Sound" Copyright Protection to Sound Recordings.**

Tracy L. Reilly*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	356
I. The Nature and History of Sampling a Sound Recording	358
II. The Development of Laws and Record Industry Policies in Response to Digital Sampling Practices.....	361
A. The Copyright Act	362
B. Other Laws and Doctrines	371
C. Music Industry Regulations	374
III. Shattering the Top Three Myths Surrounding the Practice of Digital Sampling	375
A. Myth Number One: Sampling is Analogous to Borrowing, Quoting or Imitating Prior Works	376
B. Myth Number Two: Because Sampling is a Legitimate Art Form, Samplers Should be Entitled to Freely Use Other Musicians' Original Copyrighted Material.....	380
C. Myth Number Three: In Order to Achieve the Proper Balance of Copyright Protection, We Must Err on the Side of Giving More Protection to Samplers Than Sampled Musicians.....	386
1. Because Music Has "Limited Tones," Laws Regulating Sampling Will Necessarily Stifle Creativity	387
2. Because Musicians Create Works Primarily for Non- Economic Reasons, They "Don't Mind" Unlicensed Sampling of Their Works by Others.....	392

* Assistant Professor of Law, University of Dayton School of Law, Program in Law & Technology. The Author would like to thank Megan Thomas for her excellent research and editorial efforts, as well as Dean Kloppenberg and the UDSL faculty and staff for their support. She is also grateful to Mark Budka for his technological insights and constant inspiration, Joe Bogdan for his helpful comments and Professors Dave Myers and Derrick Carter for their assistance and encouragement. Thanks also to the staff members of the *Columbia Journal of Law & the Arts* for recognizing the potential in this article.